Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R0034990100174 /653

EYES ONLY

1 3 MAR 1970

EILE Meeting

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Meeting with the Executive Director-Comptroller on 11 March 1970

- 1. Present were Mesars. Smith, Karemessines, Duckett, Coffey, Houston, 25X1 Stewart, and the undersigned.
 - 2. The Executive Director reported that he had signed the Career Training Program paper after having been assured by Mr. Commingham that the only problem with it had been resolved with the Deputy Director for Plans.
 - 3. Mr. Coffey said that the one-day Risk of Capture Course was ready to go and proposed that there be a trial run with one representative from each Directorate to evaluate it.
 - 4. The Executive Director made an observation on the dress of some of the young men, particularly ones he had seen in the corridors and cafeterias (remarking that he already lost the fight on miniskirts), and suggested that, through staff meeting channels, some attempt be made to encourage a modicum of good taste.
 - asking that any specific comments for revision be passed to the Director of Personnel within one week. Mr. Houston discussed the background of the present version. He said that historically the courts had been concerned only with whether we had been arbitrary and capricious and failed to follow our own regulations. He said he felt _______ which, as submitted, insured written notice, provided for hearing and appeal, met the first point and there remained only that we follow it. The Executive Director suggested that, if there were any questions about the draft, Mr. Houston be queried prior to the submission of comments to the Director of Personnel.
 - 6. The Executive Director reported that the review of the 1 March submissions of the surplus proceedings indicated that at a maximum 125 people would be involved, and it was his estimate that the final number would be considerably less. Mr. Stewart saked whether an employee, having been notified he was a part of the problem group, might not after noting a sizeable exodus, ask six months later whether he were still a problem. Celonel White and the others recognized that it might well be that an employee originally identified as a part of the surplus group could, prior to actual separation, be removed from that group.

SEGNET

En infect from a figural for the first factor of the first factor

25X1

25X1

- 7. A proposed directive on the married couples paper was discussed and, emong others, the following suggestions were made:
 - a. By Mr. Coffey, that the paper be addressed to the Deputies and that in dealing with conflicts, the Director of Personnel act in consultation with the appropriate Deputy or Deputies.
 - b. By Mr. Smith, that denial of employment in a Directorate was addressed to too large an entity and the Office was a more appropriate component level.
 - c. By Mr. Duckett, that the paper should be put in a positive tone and the policy should be addressed to all the immediate family and not merely the spouse.

The	Dir	ector	of	Pers	DOM	al w	a re	ques:	ted to	re	iraft	the	peper	wit	th a	view
to:	ita	consid	lere	ction	by	and	with	the	Direc	rtor	the '	veek	end of	22	Mar	ch.

Is/ Robert S. Wattles

Robert S. Wattles Director of Personnel

Distribution:

- 0 Return to OD/Pers
- 1 Belir-Compt
- I DD/I
- 1 DD/P
- 1 DD/385
- 2 DD/S
- 1 General Counsel
- 1 IG
- 1 D/Pers Chrono

rs/RSWattles:mtw (13 Mar 70)

SECRET